

DEC 2 1 2012

Dan Green

Coeur d' Alene, ID 83814

RE: MUR 6557

Dear Mr. Green:

On April 24, 2012, the Federal Election Commission notified you of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. On December 18, 2012, the Commission found, on the basis of the information in the complaint, and information provided by you, that there is no reason to believe you violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 433 and 434. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regerding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which explains the Commission's finding, is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions, please contact Kasey Morgenheim, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Kathleen M. Guith

Deputy Associate General Counsel

Enclosure

Factual and Legal Analysis

26

1 2	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
5	RESPONDENT: Dan Green MUR 6557
6 7	I. INTRODUCTION
8	This matter was generated by a Complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission by
9	Thomas P. Hanley, alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as
10	amended (the "Act"), by Dun Green. According to the Complaint, the Koutenat County Reagan
11	Republicans ("KCRR"), Jeff Ward (KCRR's treasurer), the Strategery Group, Inc., and four
12	candidates for local office in Kootenai County, Idaho — Keith Hutcheson, Barry McHugh, Todd
13	Tondee, and Dan Green — disseminated a mailer to voters in Kootenai County that endorsed
14	federal and state candidates. The Complaint alleges that the Respondents violated the Act
15	because they spent over \$1,000 for a federal candidate without "filing with" the Commission.
16	Upon review of the Complaint, Responses, and other available information, it does not
17	appear that Dan Green was required to register and report with the Commission as a political
18	committee. Accordingly, the Commission finds no reason to believe that Dan Green violated
19	2 U.S.C. §§ 433 and 434 by failing to register and report with the Commission as a political
20	committee.
21	II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
22	A. Factual Summary
23	The Complaint alleges that KCRR and the individual Respondents "working together
24	spent over \$1,000 for a federal candidate without filing with the FEC" when they sent a mailer to
25	voters in Kootenai County that endorsed state candidates and a federal candidate. Compl. at 1.

The Complaint attaches the mailer at issue, which states that "[the] Kootenai County Regan

1 Republicans wholeheartedly endorse the following conservative common-sense candidates in the

2 May 15 [2012] Republican Primary." Compl., Ex. 1. The mailer lists 14 candidates for federal,

- 3 state, and local offices, and for each candidate includes the office sought, a photograph, and a
- 4 short statement about the candidate. The mailer includes one candidate for federal office,
- 5 Congressman Raul Labrador, the incumbent candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives
- 6 from Idaho's First Congressional District, Id. Dan Green is listed as an endorsed candidate for
- 7 Kootenai County Commissioner District 3. Id.
- 8 KCRR submitted a Response signed and sworn to by both Ron Lahr, as KCRR's
- 9 president, and Jeff Ward, as KCRR's treasurer. The KCRR Response identifies Dan Green as a
- 10 candidate for Kootenai County office who had no participation in the mailer other than being
- listed as an endorsed candidate.
- Dan Green also submitted an individual Response. Green, who was a candidate for
- 13 Kootenai County Commissioner, responded that he had no involvement in the mailer.
- 14 E-mail from Dan Green to Jeff Jordan, Supervising Attorney, FEC (Oct. 19, 2012, 12:52 EST).

15 B. Legal Analysis

18

21

22

23

The Complaint generally alleges that Dan Green spent over \$1,000 for a federal candidate

17 without "filing with" the Commission. Compl. at 1. Under the Act, groups that are political

committees are required to register with the Commission and publicly report all of their receipts

19 and disbursements. 2 U.S.C. §§ 433, 434. The Act defines a "political committee" as any

20 committee, association, or other group of persons that receives "contributions" or makes

"expenditures" for the purpose of influencing a Federal election which aggregate in excess of

\$1,000 during a calendar year. 2 U.S.C. § 431(4)(A). The term "contribution" is defined to

include "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of money or anything of value made by

MUR 6557 (Green)
Factual & Legal Analysis
Page 3 of 3

- 1 any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." 2 U.S.C.
- 2 § 431(8)(A)(i). The term "expenditure" is defined to include "any purchase, payment,
- 3 distribution, loan, advance, deposit, or gift of money or anything of value, made by any person
- 4 for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." 2 U.S.C. § 431(9)(A)(i). An
- 5 organization will not be considered a "political committee" unless its "major purpose is Federal
- 6 campaign activity (i.e., the nomination or election of a Federal candidate)." Political Committee
- 7 Starus, 72 Fed. Reg. 5595, 5597 (Feb. 7, 2007) (Susplemental Explanation and Justification).
- 8 See Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1, 79 (1976); FEC v. Massachusetts Citizens for Life, Inc.
- 9 ("MCFL"), 479 U.S. 238, 262 (1986).
- There is no evidence that Dan Green had liability under sections 433 and 434 of the Act.
- 11 Accordingly, the Commission finds no reason to believe that Dan Green violated 2 U.S.C.
- 12 §§ 433 and 434.